EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE CHARGES FOR 2016-2017
Report of Progress on Charges

Shannon McGillivray, Chair
Fred Chiou, Liaison

ACADEMIC RESOURCES AND COMPUTING (ARCC)

A. Below please find information addressing the charges and list of accomplishment of the committee during the last academic year.

1. **Allocate ARCC resources (Fall and Spring), including Dee Family Technology Grant funds, using consistent, objective, fair and reasonable criteria.**

This year we were able to fund all 14 ARCC grants submitted totaling $113,550.63, and all 4 Dee Grants totaling $18,225. Statistical analyses of the ranking of the applications for both funding sources indicated that all rankings were statistically similar between the members of the group. Analyses entailed converting raw rating scores each person on the committee provided to rank order scores, and then calculating average deviation scores from the average rank score (i.e., z-scores). Per standards, z-scores that fell within 2 deviation units (i.e., 2 z-scores) of one another were considered statistically similar. For the overall scores, 100% were statistically

The following Dee Family Technology grants were funded.

Project	Project Director	Department	Amount
Verification of Mie Resonance Based Effective Medium Theory and Simulation of RFID Wireless Telemetry System for Medical Implants	Spencer Petersen & Chris Trampel	Electrical Technology & Engineering	$1,050
GraphPad Prism Software for Neuroscience and Biological Psychology Research and Seminar Courses	Todd Hillhouse	Psychology	$1,375
Test and Measurement of Radio Frequency (RF) Components for RF Engineering Classes and Faculty Research	Suketu Naik	Engineering	$5800
Solar Charging Station for Electric Vehicle	Scott Hadzik	Automotive & Engineering Technology	$10000

2. **Review funding criteria and procedures for ARCC and Dee Family Technology for possible revision or (R)5(evi)-5(e)0(w)-5( )1e 516.49.02 0 g[re)-[**

re

4. **Maintain close communication with other IT related entities on campus (for example, WSU Online and the IT governance council) in order to:**

   Examine product implementation in computer labs and assess faculty input to dete

Duplication with computer support systems/personnel (ex, we have central support in Lampros and also IT support in some Colleges)

Coordination of resources so that faculty know what is available and who to go to for what issue

Example: I have an issue with my office computer – do I call x7777 or contact my College