



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA SETTING MEETING MINUTES

Thursday, October 5, 2017
2 pm, SU 316

Click on links to review items

1. Review the [minutes](#) from the Sept 7, 2017 meeting. The minutes were approved as corrected (with edits to 7 & 9).
2. [Civic Action Institute](#) – Brenda Kowalewski, Vice Provost, Bill Cook, WSU's Ogden Civic Action Network Director. WSU wrote a civic action plan with input from _____ from the Walker Institute and Center for Community Engaged Learning. There are no other changes to the proposal. This is the infrastructure to support the Ogden Civic Action Network. Even though the Civic Action Institute is ~~essentially a proposal to faculty senate~~ a bureau or institute at this time, Brenda Kowalewski requested to

3. Center for Leadership in Corporate Responsibility – Dee Fawcett, Center Director [Proposal](#), [Budget](#)

Current classes
School. They h
questions add

4. Starfish – Leslie Initiative. A fac
Faculty can se
sections to the
have taken the
because they c
student and ac
negative feedb
emails that hav
year roll-out to

Faculty Senate
also want to kn

5. General Educa

_____ – 3000 level courses and infrequently taught courses – John Cavitt, Leigh Shaw. University Curriculum Committee (UCC). The UCC September meeting was to review Gen Ed Humanities and Creative Arts courses. 18 of 50 were placed on probation. Issues that came from this meeting were:

- a. Concern with 3000 level courses being offered as Gen Ed -

There are five total 3000 level courses, English (4) & Theater (1). The USHE recommendations in R470 is that Gen Ed be lower division. There i

could give WSU data in regard to this. Doris will draft a charge with Mark Denniston and Valerie Herzog and then bring it back before going to Faculty Senate.

12. Proposed Center Discussion – Executive Committee approved the additional questions added to the [R401 form for Centers Institutes and Bureaus \(CIB\)](#). Civic Action Institute is now an Office so we don't need to have Faculty Senate review, will still have information item in Senate. Executive Committee and the Provost support the Center for Leadership in Corporate Responsibility as it moves forward to the President's Council and Trustees. The time line for CIB review is: Review by Executive Committee then informational item for Faculty Senate, then will move to President's Council and on to Trustees.

Looking forward it is important that approval of the CIB comes before they are launched. Deans are becoming informed of the need for Executive Committee review before they launch new CIB's. Doris will share the CIB form with the Dean's council.

13. Other Items

Graduate Program Approval – Not having Regents approve most programs needs to lead to a discussion across the university. Despite Regents not approving programs there is still information being disseminated across the state. Proposals must be sent to the Chief Academic Officers (CAO) Canvas website. The Provost then forwards them to the Deans. Comments are given back to the proposing institutions Board of Trustees. The Regents are talking about putting a cap on