# Bachelors of Science in Athletic Therapy Program

Review Date: February 21, 2020

### Review Team:

Dr. Deven M. Bawden, PT, DPT, CFCE, CIDN Dr. Casey W. Neville, DHSc, R.T.(R) ARRT

## Overview:

The Review Team met with faculty the morning of the review process to discuss the program and review the schedule the onset of the day, the review team had the opportunity to meet with Dr. Yasman Simonian (Dean) and Dr. Ken Johnson (Associate Dean) of the Dumke College of Health Professions to discuss the transition of the Athletic Therapy/Athletic Training programs into the college. Following that meeting, the review team had the chance to meet with Dr. Conrad Gabler (Program Director, Bachelor of Science in Athletic The PapyAlysisa Cohen (Assistant Professor of Athletic Training) Rs. Hannah Stedge (Instructor of Athletic Training and Department Lab Coordinator) and Dr. Matthew Donahue (Assistant Professor of Athletic Training and Program Director, Master's of Science in Athletic Training) to discuss faculty's perspective of the program. Following, the review team was given a tour of the facilities and then given the chance to collaborate findings over lunch. The review team then had the opportunity to meet with Eric Neff and Brittany Maynard of the College of Health Professions Academic Advisement office. The review team then spent the afternoon meeting with a select number of current students in the Athletic Therapy program and then with Dr. Valeri Herzog (Chair, Department Athletic Training). At the end of the day, the review team had a closing meeting with Drs. Herzog, Gabler and Cohen to discuss some of the general findings.

## <u>Standard A – Mission Statement:</u>

- A. Are outcomes of program well defined?
- B. Are student accomplishments assessed by stakeholders?
- C. Is educational program clearly defined so graduates can meet goals?
- D. Does mission statement support college mission statement?

## Strengths:

Areas for Improvement: The review team discussed and could not identify any areas for improvement within the program's mission statement.

# Standard B Curriculum:

- A. Is there evidence of thoughtful curriculum planning and review?
- B. Is the curriculum consistent with mission?
- C. Are there resources to support the program?
- D. Are courses offered in a timely manner?

Strengths: The program curriculum is applicable with the requirements of the various graduate programs that are posteroithin the mission statement and provide the programdation for said programs. It was discovered that the perquisite courses do an effective of preparing the students for various advanced degrees. Having the laboratory courses concurrent with the didactic curriculum allowed for integrative learning.

Areas for Improvement: Although the curriculum is appropriate, the current format of the program in which courses are offered at the student's discretion does not allow for a streamlined education. Students and faculty have expressed concern with the current model as it has resulted in delays to degree and program completion. Also, the complete the current program does not provide students with potential employment related to the field of study without the completion of a graduate program.

Recommendations:It would be recommended, that courses be offered in a way that provides structuresuch as in progressive manner in which the student is aware of the requirements and the uniformity in which it is taught. This would also reduce advising errors that currently result in prolonged degree completion. The review team acknowledges the difficulty the suggested process may cause as there are multiple graduate programs that a staydappling after completing the Athletic Therapy program. For this reason, the student is instructed to complete varying courses to meet the needs of their desineder path. Acknowledging these differences further justifies the need for a more streamlined path of education. Furthermore, it would also be suggested that there be tracks developed for each possible career path that would allow for the streamlined press.

The current curriculum clearly provides students with specific skills that will be useful as a foundation of knowledge for their chosen graduate program. However, the skills obtained within the Athletic Therapy program do not provipte ctical skills that allow for a form of employment at this time. It is understood that the faculty within the Athletic Therapy program are currently seeking potential certifications that can be added to the curriculum in order to provide students with employment opposities. The review team encourages the investigation of these possibilities with the suggestion to find certifications or employable skills that align

closely with their current profession, noting that by simply adding an additional professional certification already offered in other programs may not provide a substantial justification to the Athletic Therapy program. With the implementation of additional certifications, it is advised to consider the impact of additional curriculum on overall program tchedirs.

# Standard C. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessments

### Outcomes

- A. Learning outcomes should reflect expected skills and behaviors achieved by graduation
- B. Learning outcomes support goals of program
- C. Learning outcomes linked to curriculum (with matrix)

#### Assessment

- A. Program has clearly defined assessment plan
- B. Each learning outcome has a direct measure that is public
- C. Evidence of learning is collected and reported regularly
- D. Program faculty meet regularly to discuss results
- E. Assessment results are being utseith prove teaching & learning (i.e., close the loop)

Strengths: The program has clearly described studeatningoutcomes and the review team has found that the outcomes have a direct correlation the program curriculum. During discussions with the current students of the athletic therapy program, it was discovered that the learning outcomes provide the required skills that build the foundation for the specified graduate programs. The program uses written and oral practical examinations as assessment tools, which is standard for healthcare related education. The program faculty has recognized weaknesses in curriculum and overall course requirements during student assessments and has made proper adjustments in order to accommodate the professional needs of the students. Students were asked to complete exit surveyspon graduation in order to assess the programbility to prepare students for graduate programs. The program director has determine the exit survey provides greater benefits if it is distributed after every semester rather than waiting until graduation. The review committee commends the program for implementing the survey throughout the duration of the program as we agreetthwilt provide more accurates sessment data. The faculty also utilize the university's standard course evaluations delivered through Chitester.

Areas for Improvement: Although the program faculty have provided the students with assessment surveys more frequently, the current survey is tailored to graduating students.

Recommendations: It is recommended that the assess survey that is distributed every semester be tailored in a way that asks specific questions regarding the statetasks thin the program.

Strengths: The faculty qualifications, composition, and professional development activities is consistent with programs mission. Faculty have shown continued efforts to be professionally engaged with an extensive list active scholarly and professional involvemiem professional societies, conferences, presentations, and professional peer reviewed publications. Faculty meet and exceed the expectations outlined for the Dumke College of Health Professions tenure and promotion. The department chair strives to align faculty strengths with courses within the program that will allow for greater student benefit. The faculty participate in curriculum retreats in order to evaluate and improve program quality and effectiven be scaled by with the exception of one adjunct hold at least a master's degitles everal holding terminal doctoral

to university software and processes as weleaeiving mentorship from other college staff. The current staff member is also training to assist with academic advising in order to meet the programs goals in regards to advising.

The Athletic Therapy program is housed in the Swenson Building within the Stromberg Complex, where it is provided with adequate space and equipment. Faculty have written and received grant funding for needed specialized equipment for the improvement of teaching and research.

The Athle-6 (h)n.1 (a)6.1cEMC /w -3.83 -1.3hifp02,i(om)e Aei1 (o)-4 (ces)-54 (p)g(is)1 -2 (fm-5 (r2 T

Recommendations: It is recommended that the Athletic Therapy program include some of their clinical affiliates with the implementation of the programs advisory board described in the strategic plant has been suggested that the Athletic Therapy Advisory Board will meet annually. The review committee sees potential advantages to having practicing healthcare professionals as advisory board members in order to provide insight on current professional trends.

# Standard H. Program Summary

A. The program must show how it has implemented any recommendations from the previous review and what effect these changes had on the program. If any recommendations were not implemented the program should explain why they were not publiance.

Strengths: The review team has found that the Athletic Therapy Program accepted the advice from the previous program review and has taken action to fulfill the requests noted in the previous review. The need foodational fulltime faculty in lieuof the heavy dependence adjunct faculty has been fulfilled by the hiringafifth fulltime faculty member As noted previously in Standard D, advishness improved with the incorporation of the DCHP academic advisement office, Program Director involvement, and training of the new staff member. The implementation of increased advisement resources as well as the strategic plan constructed by the program has fulfilled any previous concerns associated with advisement. The previous review acknowledged concern dealing with communication regardireppirate courses. The current review team recognizes this issue as well. However, it is understood that this may be a difficult tasks the required courses will vary dependion the graduate program the student chooses, with additional variations with each graduate institution. The program director has met with the college academic advisor to research ways to improve this process. It was determined that students would be refetoes becific advisors throughout the university such as the preA advisor and prened advisor in order to better define the course of study required. The program director will assist-porecupational therapy and potaysical therapy students to accesonline material pertaining to specific institutional requirements. The need for a laboratory coordinator has been met with the implementation of a fulltime laboratoryinstructor.

The Athletic Therapy program faculty and the previous review team hantified confusion with the current name of Athletic Therapy. The faculty plans ned a name change to Rehabilitation Sciences that will be submitted Fall of 2020. This will aller that current confusion with Athletic Training and acknowledge the programs variety of disciplines.