Older adults make less advantageous decisions than younger adults: Cognitive and psychological correlates

GEORGE FEIN, SHANNON MCGILLIVRAY, 1 and PETER FINN

¹Neurobehavioral Research, Inc., Corte Madera, California ²Department of Psychology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana

(Received March 9, 2006, Final Revision November 15, 2006, Accepted November 16, 2006)

Abstract

This study tested the hypotheses that older adults make less advantageous decisions than younger adults on the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT). Less advantageous decisions, as measured by the IGT, are characterized by choices that favor largerversussmaller immediate rewards, even though such choices may result in long-term negative consequences. The IGT and measures of neuropsychological function, personality, and psychopathology were administered to 164 healthy adults 18–85 years of age. Older adults performed less advantageously on the IGT compared with younger adults. Additionally, a greater number of older adults' IGT performances was classified as "impaired" when compared with those of younger adults. Less advantageous decisions were associated with obsessive symptoms in older adults and with antisocial symptoms in younger adults. Performance on the IGT was positively associated with auditory working memory and psychomotor function in young adults, and in immediate memory in older adults. (JINS, 2007,13, 480–489.)

Keywords: Decision making, Aging, Cognition, Gambling task, Frontal lobe function, Executive function

INTRODUCTION

ing problems and have lower working memory capacity,

Older adults are faced with many situations that require cessing (Johnson, 1990; Kim et al., 2002; MacPherson et al., making important decisions about financial management 2002; Salthouse, 1996; Schaie & Willis, 1999). Lower workmedical care, retirement, housing, and transportation. Unfor ing memory capacity or reduced deliberations in the local when making decisions is associated with impulsive decinewspaper of elderly individuals being victimized by a range sions (Finn et al., 2002). Studies show that lower working of scams involving home repair, fraudulent lottery or sweep memory capacity in younger populations is associated with stake winnings, identity theft, and fraudulent charitable orgates advantageous, impulsive decision making (Bechara & nizations. It is a fact that older adults are preferentially Martin, 2004; Finn et al., 2002; Hinson et al., 2003). Furtargeted by fraudulent and misleading advertising (Amerithermore, magnetic resonance imaging studies have shown can Association of Retired Persons, 1996), presumably that aging is highly correlated with shrinkage in prefrontal because they are more likely to make ill-informed decisions that make them easier prey for scam artists.

The poor decisions observed in some older adults are Raz et al., 1997, 2004). thought to be due to either age-related declines in general

cognitive function (Band et al., 2002) or to age-related ounder, Neurobehavioral Research, Inc., 201 Tamal Vista Boul declines in specific executive cognitive abilities associated uite 200, Corte Madera, CA 94925, USA. E-mail: george@nbresearc with changes in the frontal lobe (Raz et al., 1998; West, 1006). For instance, adder adulta deliberate loss when asky

1996). For instance, older adults deliberate less when solv-

and colleagues (1994) to study the decision-making impairments of patients with lesions of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex who develop what is called acquired sociopathy. Decision-making impairments on this task are also associated with substance abuse problems, antisocial behavior, and personality traits reflecting low levels of socialization and higher levels of impulsivity (Bechara et al., 2001; Fein et al., 2004; Mazas et al., 2000).

Studies conducted in recent years that examine decision making on similar tasks in older adults have yielded mixed results. Recent studies using the IGT suggest that decision making in older adults is associated with different cognitive processes than in younger adults (Wood et al., 2005), and there may be some evidence that at least some older adults make less advantageous decisions than younger adults (Deakin et al., 2004; Denburg et al., 2005). Denburg and colleagues (2005) found that older adults (56-85 years of age) made generally less advantageous decisions compared with their sample of younger adults (26-55 years of age). The analyses by Denburg et al. (2005) revealed that nearly half of their older adults were clearly impaired in their decisions and never learned to shift their decision-making strategies to make more advantageous decisions. However, the other half of the older adults were not impaired at all and showed the same pattern of advantageous decisions as the younger adults in their sample. Wood and colleagues (2005) also used the IGT to assess decision making in younger (18-34 years old) and older (65-88 years old) adults. While Wood et al. (2005) did not report any significant agerelated differences in advantageous decisions, the mean performance for older adults was nonsignificantly lower than that of younger adults and older adults used different strategies than younger adults when learning the task. Finally, MacPherson and colleagues (2002) reported no significant differences in advantageous decision making between younger and older adults; however, as pointed out by Denburg et al. (2005), older adults had generally flatter learning curves compared with younger adults, which seems to suggest some impairment in the ability to learn to make more advantageous decisions over time.

Table 1. Demographics, gambling game, and neuropsychological measures

Variable	Younger group Ages 18–55		Older group Ages 56–85		Effect size (%)		
	Men (n = 49)	Women (n = 63)	Men (n = 18)	Women (n = 34)	Group	Gender	Group× gender
Demographics							
Age (years)	35. 生 10.1	39.7± 10.8	71.5± 7.6	74.8± 7.2	NOA	NOA	NOA
Years education	16. 3 2.0	16.4 ± 1.6	16.5 ± 2.5	15.9± 1.9	0.1	0.4	0.8
Gambling game							
Sum of the "good""bad" decks	36.6 ± 31.2	34.3± 29.3	21.7± 31.8	8.2±			

plus an additional bonus once they had completed the stud \$944), Trail Making Test A and B (Reitan & Wolfson, 1985), All of the procedures, measures, and compensation wereymbol Digit Modalities Test (written administration only; reviewed and approved by an institutional review board. Smith, 1968), American version of the Nelson Adult Read-

Psychodiagnostic and Personality Assessment

symptom counts were determined using the computerized version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (CDIS; Robins et al., 1998). Table 2 presents the number of positive diagnoses by group and gender. Personality traits reflecting antisocial tendencies (Finn & Hall, 2004) were assessed using the Psychopathic Deviance scale of the MMPI-2 (MMPI_Pd; Hathaway & McKinley, 1989), the Socialization scale of the California Psychological Inventory (CPI So; Gough, 1969), and the sum of Conduct Disorder and Antisocial Personality Disorder symptom counts from the CDIS (CD ASPD). Research shows that these different measures of personality and antisocial symptoms are significantly associated with disadvantageous decisions on the IGT in young adult samples (Mazas et al., 2000; Stout et al., 2005).

Neuropsychological Assessment

A neuropsychological assessment was administered on the second day of testing. This assessment began with the administration of the following individual tests: Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure (copy, immediate, and delay; Osterrieth,

ing Test (AMNART; Grober & Sliwinski, 1991), Short Category Test (booklet format; Wetzel, 1982), Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT; Benton & Hamsher, 1983), Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT; Gronwall, Lifetime and current (prior year) psychiatric diagnoses and 1977), Block Design (WAIS; Wechsler, 1981), Stroop Color

verage5. Sama din @ r0e8::66 Tj /F1 1 Tf Z.3909 0 TD (A)

and Word Test (Golden, 1975), Fregly Ataxia Battery (Fregly et al., 1973), and the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT, described in detail below; Bechara et al., 1994). After a 15-min break, the subject completed the MicroCog (MC) Assessment of

domains (see Table 1; Table 3 contains results from analyses on the raw scores). There was no difference in the averageZ score across domain \mathbb{E} (1,160)= 0.15,p > .70]. On

DISCUSSION

may reflect a combination of factors. Depressed older individuals are subject to survivor effects because depressed

The current study replicates the major finding of Denburgindividuals die at an earlier age than nondepressed individet al. (2005) that individuals older than age 55 exhibit lessuals (Schulz et al., 2002). In addition, it is possible that our advantageous decisions on the IGT compared with individelderly participants were a select sample (with a relatively uals younger than age 55. The analysis of IGT performance were a depression) because of their propensity to volversusage data using polynomial regression found that aunteer for a 4-day research study. They reported that their quadratic curve best fit the data. As shown in Figure 1, IGTparticipation was mostly for altruistic reasons and to learn performance as a function of age revealed that age 55 more about themselves, as compared with the younger subwas a reasonable cutoff for demarking the beginning of anjects who more often reported monetary considerations as a age-related impairment. Using Denburg's definition of motivating factor. Finally, possible cohort differences in "impaired" and "unimpaired," IGT performance as being the acknowledgment of psychological distress may also have significantly worse than chance and significantly better tharplayed a part.

chance, respectively, we replicate their finding of more The results also indicate different psychological correimpaired performance in the over 55 compared with mordates of impaired decision making in oldeersusyounger unimpaired performance in the under 55 group. Our peradults. For younger adults, even though these subjects were centage of older individuals with unimpaired performancehealthy normal individuals, antisocial behavior was signifwas lower than that in our younger sample and was compare antly associated with less advantageous decisions. This rable to that of Denburg (46% 38%); however, our per- result is consistent with studies that show that young adults centage of older individuals with impaired performance, with diagnosable disinhibitory syndromes, such as conduct while higher than that in our younger sample, was lowerdisorder, antisocial personality disorder, and substance abuse, than that reported by Denburg (15% 35%). In addition, demonstrate less advantageous decisions (Mazas et al., Denburg did not find any associations between the IGT an@000). However, for older adults, symptoms of obsessiveperformance on cognitive tests, while we reported an assoness were associated with less advantageous decisions. More ciation with the average score across all nine cognitive studies are needed to replicate these findings and to deterdomains assessed. Furthermore, Wood et al. (2005) found ine what aspects of obsessiveness might contribute to that older and younger adults use different cognitive stratimpaired decisions in older adults. We are very aware of the egies in regard to decision making as assessed by the IGdifference between diagnosable psychiatric illness and sub-Analyses of our participants also revealed that different diagnostic signs and symptoms associated with such illcognitive processes are associated with decision making iness. We published a study in which we examined both olderversusyounger adults. Auditory working memory and

psychomotor abilities were associated with IGT within the younger group, and immediate memory was associated with IGT performance in the older group.

Regarding neuropsychological performance, it is important to note that all participants performed within the normal range compared with age and education adjusted norms (see Table 1). The groups did not differ on age and education adjusted scores, except for the older group performing better on reaction time and delayed memory. These differences were subtle impairments and were not clinically significant. Furthermore, looking at the raw scores (Table 3), older adults performed worse than younger adults on these two domains. The better performance in terms of the scaled scores suggests that older adults in the Bay Area (from which our sample was drawn) perform better than the older sample from which the normative data were derived. For all subjects, the averagescore across domains was positively associated with advantageous decisions on the IGT, and there was no significant difference in this association between groups.

Within the younger groups, especially among the young women, there was a relatively high proportion of individuals who met lifetime criteria for depression. Analysis of covariance did not, however, reveal any association between depressive symptoms and performance on the IGT. This higher rate of depression reported in the younger groups

ity in elderly individuals with a history of alcohol or drug ACKNOWLEDGMENTS abuse and may make them particularly vulnerable to making disadvantageous decisions. Studies examining decision this work was supported by grants AA11311 (G.F.) and AA13659 making ability in elderly individuals with a history of alcohol or drug abuse are warranted. Research, Inc., recruitment and assessment staff and to each of our volunteer research participants.

REFERENCES

American Association of Retired Persons. (1996).

- Schulz, R., Drayer, R.A., & Rollman, B.L. (2002). Depression as Wechsler, D. (1981)Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised a risk factor for non-suicide mortality in the elderBiological Psychiatry 52, 205-225.
- Smith, A. (1968). The symbol digit modalities test: A neuropsychological test of learning and other cerebral disorders. In J. Helmuth (Ed.) Learning disordersSeattle, WA: Special Child Publications.
- Smith, A. (1982) Symbol Digit Modalities Testos Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.
- SPSS, Inc. (2004). SPSS 13.0 for Windows (Version 13.0). Chicago IL: SPSS, Inc.
- P.R. (2005). Psychological processes underlying risky decisions in drug abuser sychology of Addictive Behaviors9, 148-157.
- Stuss, D.T., Stethem, L.L., & Pelchat, G. (1988). Three tests of attention and rapid information processing: An extension Clinical Neuropsychologist2, 246-250.

- New York: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (1997)Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-3rd ed: Administration and Scoring ManuaBan Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- West, R.L. (1996). An application of prefrontal cortex function theory to cognitive aging Psychological Bulletin 120, 272-292.
- Wetzel, L. (1982).Development of a short, booklet form of the category test: Correlational and validity dataUnpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Health Scienoebe Chi-
- cago Medical School, Chicago. Stout, J.C., Rock, S.L., Campbell, M.C., Busemeyer, J.R., & Finn, Wetzel, L. & Boll, T. (1987). Short Category Test, booklet format Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.
 - Wood, S., Busemeyer, J., Koling, A., Cox, C.R., & Davis, H. (2005). Older adults as adaptive decision makers: Evidence from the Iowa Gambling TaskPsychology and Aging20, 220-225.

489