The present study examined age-related differences in monitoring memory performance on a test using a novel task that asked people to monitor how much information they had forgotten (Halamish & Koriat, 2010). In many real-life free-recall situations, for example, when we try to draft a mental list that includes errands, assignments, and things to buy in the supermarket, we occasionally feel that we have forgotten some information. It seems likely that what is occurring in such situations is that individuals make spontaneous attempts to monitor forgetting. Yet, the validity of such monitoring, as well as potential age-related differences in monitoring forgetting, has not received experimental attention. more information than young adults. When summed across the different categories, older adults estimated they forgot 16.20 items, significantly more than young adults who estimated they forgot 10.08 items, t(38) 2.33, p .05. The finding that the age-related differences in the MOF estimates were consistent with the age-related differences in actual memory performance indicates that older adults accurately estimated their increased forgetting. Occasionally, participants provided category exemplars that were not studied and older adults had significantly more intrusions than younger adults (3.00 vs. 0.5), t(38) 2.89, p .01. Across all categories, 17.0% of the responses that older adults provided were intrusions, compared with only 0.2% of the responses of young adults.

## **Monitoring of Forgetting Calibration**

Actual forgetting and estimated forgetting were compared across the different categories in order to examine MOF calibration. As Figure 1 indicates, both age groups estimated that they forgot less information than they actually did. We conducted a 2 2 analysis of variance with age group as a between-participants measure (young vs. old) and forgetting (actual vs. estimated) as a within-participants measure. This analysis yielded a main effect of age group, F(1, 38)7.18, MSE 87.01, p .05, with older adults displaying higher forgetting scores compared with younger adults. The analysis also yielded a main effect of the forgetting measure, F(1, 38) 6.17, MSE 20.88, p .05, which indicated that, across the two age groups, actual forgetting was higher than estimated forgetting. The analysis did not yield a significant interaction, F 1.

However, when we compared actual (memory) and estimated (MOF judgment) forgetting scores for each age group separately, an interesting pattern emerged. For older adults, there was no significant difference between actual and estimated forgetting, t(19) = 1.14, *ns* 

suggesting age invariance in the ability to estimate and monitor performance that has already occurred (Baker et al., 2010; Brigham & Pressley, 1988; Bunnell et al., 1999; Hertzog et al., 1994), although somewhat inconsistent with other bodies of research (Gopie et al., in press; Koriat et al., 1988; Souchadt studying) in terms of future encoding operations that could serve to enhance memory performance.

## References

- Allen-Burge, R., & Storandt, M. (2000). Age equivalence in feeling-ofknowing experiences. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological and Social Sciences*, 55B, P214–P223.
- Baker, J., Dunlosky, J., & Hertzog, C. (2010). How accurately can older adults evaluate the quality of their text recall? The effect of providing standards on judgment accuracy. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 24, 134. doi:10.1002/acp.1553
- Brigham, M. C., & Pressley, M. (1988). Cognitive monitoring and strategy choice in younger and older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, *3*, 249–257. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.3.3.249
- Bruce, P. R., Coyne, A. C., & Botwinick, J. (1982). Adult age differences in metamemory. *Journal of Gerontology*, 37, 354–357.
- Bunnell, J. K., Baken, D. M., & Richards-Ward, L. A. (1999). The effect of age on metamemory for working memory. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 28, 23–29.
- Burke, D. M., Mackay, D. G., Worthley, J. S., & Wade, E. (1991). On the tip of the tongue: What causes word finding failures in younger and older adults? *Journal of Memory and Language*, 30, 542–579. doi: 10.1016/0749-596X(91)90026-G
- Butterfield, E. C., Nelson, T. O., & Peck, V. (1988). Developmental aspects of the feeling of knowing. *Developmental Psychology*, 24, 654– 663. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.24.5.654
- Connor, L. T., Dunlosky, J., & Hertzog, C. (1997). Age-related differences in absolute but not relative metamemory accuracy. *Psychology and Aging*, 12, 50–71. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.12.1.50
- Craik, F. I. M., & Salthouse, T. A. (2008). *Handbook of aging and cognition* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Devolder, P. A., Brigham, M. C., & Pressley, M. (1990). Memory performance awareness in younger and older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 5, 291–303. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.5.2.291
- Dunlosky, J., & Hertzog, C. (1997). Older and younger adults use a functionally identical algorithm to select items for restudy during multitrial learning. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*, 52, 178–186.
- Einstein, G. O., McDaniel, M. A., Smith, R. E., & Shaw, P. (1998). Habitual prospective memory and aging: Remembering intentions and forgetting actions. *Psychological Science*, 9, 284–289. doi:10.1111/ 1467-9280.00056
- Gopie, N., Craik, F. I. M., & Hasher, L. (in press). Destination memory impairment in older people. *Psychology and Aging*, 25, 922–928.
- Halamish, V., & Koriat, A. (2010). Monitoring one's own forgetting: A metacognitive perspective on memory search termination decisions. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychology, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel.
- Hertzog, C., Dunlosky, J., Powell-Moman, A., & Kidder, D. P. (2002). Aging and monitoring associative learning: Is monitoring accuracy spared or impaired? *Psychology and Aging*, 17, 209–225. doi:10.1037/ 0882-7974.17.2.209
- Hertzog, C., & Hultsch, D. F. (2000). Metacognition in adulthood and old age. In F. I. M. Craik & T. A. Salthouse (Eds.), *The handbook of aging* and cognition (2nd ed., pp. 417–466). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hertzog, C., Saylor, L. L., Fleece, A. M., & Dixon, R. A. (1994). Metamemory and aging: Relations between predicted, actual and perceived memory task performance. *Aging and Cognition*, 1, 203–237. doi:10.1080/13825589408256577
- Hertzog, C., Sinclair, S. M., & Dunlosky, J. (2010). Age differences in the monitoring of learning: Cross-sectional evidence of spared resolution across the adult life span. *Developmental Psychology*, 46, 939–948.

Hintzman, D. L. (1986). Schema abstractions in a multiple-trace memory

model. Psychological Review, 93, 411-428. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.93.4.411

- Hintzman, D. L. (1988). Judgments of frequency and recognition memory in a multiple-trace memory model. *Psychological Review*, 95, 528–551. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.95.4.528
- Kelley, C. M., & Sahakyan, L. (2003). Memory, monitoring, and control in the attainment of memory accuracy. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 48, 704–721. doi:10.1016/S0749-596X(02)00504-1
- Koriat, A. (1997). Monitoring one's knowledge during study: A cueutilization approach to judgments of learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 126, 349–370.
- Koriat, A., Ben-Zur, H., & Sheffer, D. (1988). Telling the same story twice: Output monitoring and age. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 27, 23–39. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(88)90046-0
- Koutstaal, W. (2003). Older adults encode—but do not always use perceptual details: Intentional versus unintentional effects of detail on memory judgments. *Psychological Science*, 14, 189–193.
- Levy-Cushman, J., & Abeles, N. (1998). Memory complaints in the able elderly. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 19, 3–24. doi:10.1300/J018v19n02\_02
- Marquié, J. C., & Huet, N. (2000). Age differences in feeling-of-knowing and confidence judgments as a function of knowledge domain. *Psychol*ogy and Aging, 15, 451–461. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.15.3.451
- Marsh, R. L., Hicks, J. L., Cook, G. I., & Mayhorn, C. B. (2007). Comparing older and younger adults in an event-based prospective memory paradigm containing an output monitoring component. *Aging*, *Neuropsychology, and Cognition*, 14, 168–188. doi:10.1080/ 138255891007074
- McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2000). Strategic and automatic processes in prospective memory retrieval: A multiprocess framework. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 14, S127–S144. doi:10.1002/acp.775
- Murdock, B. B. (1976). Item and order information in short-term serial memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 105, 191–206. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.105.2.191
- Nelson, T. O., Gerler, D., & Narens, L. (1984). Accuracy of feeling of knowing judgments for predicting perceptual identification and relearning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 113, 282–300.
- Pansky, A., Goldsmith, M., Koriat, A., & Pearlman-Avnion, S. (2009). Memory accuracy in old age: Cognitive, metacognitive, and neurocognitive determinants. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 303–329. doi:10.1080/09541440802281183
- Rast, P., & Zimprich, D. (2009). Age differences in the underconfidencewith-practice effect. *Experimental Aging Research*, 35, 400–431. doi: 10.1080/03610730903175782
- Rhodes, M. G., & Kelley, C. M. (2005). Executive processes, memory accuracy, and memory monitoring: An aging and individual difference analysis. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 52, 578–594. doi:10.1016/ j.jml.2005.01.014
- Schwarz, N., Bless, H., Strack, F., Klumpp, G., Rittenauer-Schatka, H., & Simmons, A. (1991). Ease of retrieval as information: Another look at the availability heuristic. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *61*, 195–202. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.61.2.195
- Souchay, C., Moulin, C. J. A., Clarys, D., Taconnat, L., & Isingrini, M. (2007). Diminished episodic memory awareness in older adults: Evidence from feeling-of-knowing and recollection. *Consciousness and Cognition: An International Journal, 16,* 769–784. doi:10.1016/ j.concog.2006.11.002
- Yaniv. I. & Meyer, D. E. (1987). Activation and metacognition of inaccessible stored information: Potential bases for incubation effects in problem solving. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Mem*ory and Cognition, 13, 187–205. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.13.2.187

Received August 23, 2010 Revision received December 9, 2010 Accepted December 19, 2010